

UREGINA 🚿 USASK

### DIGITAL OPPORTUNITY IN AGRICULTURE

Digitizing the western Canadian agriculture industry

J.Relf-Eckstein<sup>1,</sup>, Graeme Jobe<sup>1</sup>, Brian Wixted<sup>2,</sup> and Peter Phillips<sup>1,</sup>

- 1. Johnson-Shoyama Graduate School of Public Policy, University of Saskatchewan, Saskatoon SK
- 2. Centre for Policy Research on Science and Technology (CPROST) SFU, Vancouver, BC

27<sup>th</sup> April, 2016, Saskatoon, SK CDO Annual Meeting2016

### FOOD & AGRICULTURE INDUSTRY

World-wide agriculture:

- contributes 6% of global GDP (World Book 2016, UN-FAO)
- employs 1.3 billion people (UNCTADStat 2016)
- value ag trade exports \$1.3 trillion (Agriculture Canada 2015)
- Over 500 food & agriculture tech startups raised USD\$4.6 billion in 2015 (AgFunder 2015 Annual Report)
  - "agtech is the new queen of green" (Techcrunch Network, 2015).
  - Before 2013, investment in agtech was flat (CleanTech Group, 2015)

www.schoolorpublicpolicy.sk.ca

JOHNSON

### FOOD & AGRICULTURE INDUSTRY

Canadian agriculture industry:

- single largest employer and contributor to Canada's GDP
- \$107 billion (6.7%) GDP in 2013 (Agriculture Canada 2015)
- 1 in 8 Canadians employed ag & service sector
- leading exporter several commodities
  - 3.5% total world exports
- primary production agtech customers on prairies
  - 133,840 customers Alberta, Manitoba, Saskatchewan

OHNSON

### WESTERN CANADA PRODUCTION

J O H N S O N S H O Y A M A



Map: 1 dot = 100 farms

AB, SK, MB Combined = 96,063 farms

Agtech customers 133,840 farm operators (45% Canadian market)

130.1 millionacres farmland

### **METHODOLOGY**



Assumption: Trade Shows, field days are central nodes in global knowledge economy or global information networks (Bathelt & Gibson; Golfetto, & Rinallo)

**Context:** exhibitors, visitors, agtech buyers (international buyers, regional producers), innovation competitions, sales strategy,

- <u>Canada Farm Progress Show</u> 42,000 visitors, Regina, 2015 Innovations Showcase, International Business Center -\$C 163.8 M, 146 international buyers, 15 countries,
- <u>Agribition</u>: 130,200 visitors, competitors, buyers
  C\$5 M livestock sales, 70 countries
- <u>w.Canada Crop Production Show (prov.trade shows)</u> Peer recognition for excellence innovation





Organization of Innovation	Interoperability	
	Closed	Open
Top-down	Type I:	Type II:
(hierarchical)	Corporate model	Strategic networks
Bottom-up	Type IV:	Type III:
(producer driven)	Primordial systems	Perfect competition

Interoperability defined (generally) as openness and fluidity of membership. Phillips, 2007.

Organization of innovation captures finance, marketing, skills & the technology

### TYPE I: TOP-DOWN DRIVEN, CLOSED INTEROPERABILITY Case Type I: John Deere, the corporate play for control



### Hardware Software Sensors Satellite/Cellular Remote access & control Aggregation



JOHNSON

**SHOYAMA** 









### TYPE I: TOP-DOWN DRIVEN, CLOSED INTEROPERABILITY Case Type I: John Deere, the corporate play for control



Data integration for farm operation, staff, agtech providers (ATPs) advisors

Source: Pinkston 2015. VP Information Solutions, Intelligent Solutions Group John Deere 8

### TYPE I: TOP-DOWN DRIVEN, CLOSED INTEROPERABILITY Case Type I: John Deere, the corporate play for control

aggregating platform for John Deere USA, 3<sup>rd</sup> parties Climate Corp, Trimble/AgriTrend Raven Wireless Enabled Machines (2009-present)

JOHNSON



Source: Pinkston 2015. VP Information Solutions, Intelligent Solutions Group John Deere 9

TYPE II: TOP-DOWN DRIVEN, OPEN INTEROPERABILITY Case Type II: Farmobile (USA), strategic networks

Solving a problem - famers right to own their data & sell it

Skills

- developer/programmer, start-up business, farm history
- consumer digital tech to agtech (Fitbit<sup>®</sup>, iTunes)

Finance

start-up self financed, scale up with venture capital
 Market

- open interoperability common communication codes
- •\$4.00/ac purchase price -\$2.00/acre farmer \$2.00 firm

Technology

• processes and hardware patent protection - the system

JOHNSON

TYPE II: TOP-DOWN DRIVEN, CPEN INTEROPERABILITY Case Type II: Farmobile (USA), strategic networks

O H N S O N



\$1,250 US/PUC/yr install the PUC on tractor (15 min) connect antenna cables to PUC; data cable to machine, start-er-up, collect data TYPE III: BOTTOM-UP DRIVEN, OPEN INTEROPERABILITY Case Type III: AgSKY Technologies (Swan River, MB) perfectly competitive entrepreneurial start-up

Solving a problem - famers don't have enough time to process drone images for quick decision-making

Skills

 farm know-who & know-how, developer/programmer, business

Finance

start-up Bank of Dad, acquisition by GreenAero Technology
 Market

• open access

Technology

• processes: mobile, mainframe storage, USB/e transfer

### SHOYAMA **TYPE III: BOTTOM-UP DRIVEN, OPEN INTEROPERABILITY** Case Type III: AgSKY Technologies (Swan River, MB) perfectly competitive entrepreneurial start-up



ARGSKY ortay [ see Leg

13

JOHNSON

Drone flight, images Actionable report

TYPE IV: BOTTOM-UP DRIVEN, CLOSED INTEROPERABILITY Case TYPE IV: FarmLead, (Foam Lake, SK) primordial system generator

Solving a problem - removal single desk marketing of grains

Skills

farm know-who & know-how, economics, commodity trader
 Finance

• own networks, competitions, AB venture capital investors Market

- open access, mobile platform for trading grain
- anonymized buyer/seller (voluntary attribution) rating system for transaction behavior

Technology

- processes: mobile, cloud, financial (insurance)
- on-line bid system

www.schoolofpublicpolicy.sk.ca

### TYPE IV: BOTTOM-UP DRIVEN, CLOSED INTEROPERABILITY Case TYPE IV: FarmLead, (Foam Lake, SK) primordial system generator



### **OBSERVATIONS**



- B. farm know-how, know-who, trust & legitimacy
  - programmer/developer, OR business skill sets offfarm,
  - mentorship critical to start-up & next-phase success
- C. data ownership, lock-in technology (warranty)
- D. culture of trust relationships producer to seller in ag different than other sectors of DT customers, privacy of identity paramount
- E. trade shows, farmer field days (epistemic community),s elf-organizing & hacker networks key to understanding agtech innovations and the actors

JOHNSON



### **CONCLUSIONS 1**

## What is the current position of Canadian ICT firms in the innovation and production networks?

### **CONCLUSIONS 1**



- 1. Type I model not being developed in Canada USA driven
  - brand-loyalty, inter-generational transition in ag
    - Canadian software innovations acquisitions or thirdparty licensing
- 2. Type II networked activity little evidence \$ value of data
  - models emerging in animal (cattle) sector
- 3. Type III and IV (bottom-up) models significant activity
  - local investment, developer or financial skills
  - local market adaptation & adoption influenced by trust relationships, global potential cross-commodities
  - gaps in coordination agtech ideas with business, financial support & mentorship to reach next-level of success producer-driven global innovation networks



### **CONCLUSIONS 2**

### What policy initiatives might be needed to ensure that Canadian industry remains at forefront of ICT adoption and diffusion?

### **POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS**



### "keep going"

- 1. talent & mentorship programs such as Futurpreneur (competitions)
- 2. kick the tires events trade shows with innovation awards & farmer field days: showcase agtech innovations & talent, build epistemic community/strategic networks of agtech & applied innovation
- 3. relationships with Transport Canada co-operative & collaborative

### "we really need more"

 support beyond start-up (incubator) phase venture capital tax credits, business planning, strategic plans (next strategic moves), copyright, patent USA-Canada

### "we need clarity - policy action - guidance principles harmonization"

5. legal basis in flux in Canada

ownership, privacy, and security of data & innovation: who owns the tractor? who owns the data? third party access to information/data www.schoolofpublicpolicy.sk.ca



### FOOD & AGRICULTURE INDUSTRY Three Futures for Creating Digital Opportunities

Digitization of entire R&D, production, distribution, supply, & marketing system

- 1. Virtual world seed, microbe, animal modeling
- 2. Reimagining the business system
- 3. Creating new value propositions

### Disruptive digital technologies - metamorphosis of agriculture



Circa 1<sup>st</sup> decade 20<sup>th</sup> century

**Circa 2<sup>nd</sup> decade 21<sup>th</sup> century** 

# Robot tractor handles heat of harvest

### jer128@mail.usask.ca peter.phillips@usask.ca www.schoolofpublicpolicy.sk.ca

jobe.graeme@gmail.com brian\_wixsted@sfu.ca

JOHNSON SHOYAMA